IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY CIVIL ACTION

No. 16-4839 v.

BIKE & BUILD, INC., et al.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 17th day of October, 2018, upon consideration of the Motions for

Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff Nautilus Insurance Company (Nautilus).

Defendant/Crossclaimant United Specialty Insurance Company (United Specialty), and

Defendant/Counterclaimant Bike & Build, Inc. (Bike & Build), the briefing on the Motions, and

the parties' presentations at oral argument, and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying

Memorandum, it is ORDERED:

Nautilus's and United Specialty's Motions for Summary Judgment (Documents 46

and 43) are GRANTED. Judgment is entered in favor of Nautilus on its claim against

Bike & Build and in favor of United Specialty on its crossclaim against Bike & Build.

Nautilus and United Specialty have no duty or obligation to defend, indemnify, or

provide coverage to Bike & Build for the claims asserted against Bike & Build by

Bridget Anderson in the lawsuit filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia

County captioned Anderson v. Bike & Build, Inc., Civil Action No. 160601404.

Bike & Build's Motions for Summary Judgment (Documents 44 and 45) are

DENIED.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case CLOSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez

Juan R. Sánchez, C.J.